May 19 2014

Spatial Informatics Group, LLC



Optimizing timber and carbon revenue under uncertainty – tool development for large property owners

Thomas Buchholz, Charles Kerchner, John Gunn, William van Doren, David Saah

Western Forest Economists Meeting, May 19-20 2014 Double Tree by Hilton Hotel Missoula, Montana

The problem

- How to maximize NPV from both timber and carbon?
- How many acres under carbon?
- Reconciling inventory fluctuations with carbon project reversal risk





Optimizing NPV for timber





Carbon project reversal risk





Carbon project reversal risk

Individual Tree Selection – Low Retention





Other constraints

- Sustained yield constraints
 - Minimizing periodic fluctuations in harvests
- Honoring long-term timber contracts
 - Minimum harvest rates by species and period
- Ensuring minimum acreage under defined prescriptions
 - e.g. wildlife management zones, stream management zones



Optimizer Specifications

- Optimization variable
 - Net Present Value (timber and carbon)
- Constraint variables
 - Timber flow fluctuations (e.g. +/-10% per period)
 - Acreage by prescription
 - Harvest volume by species and period
 - Inventory fluctuations (e.g. 'not declining')
- Input variables by stand
 - Harvest volumes (MBF)
 - Carbon inventory by period (tonnes)



Optimizer Specifications

- Up to six forest management prescriptions
- Four species/product groups
- Price appreciation assumptions (carbon credits and stumpage)
- Set up for >2000 stands
- Solve time: ~90 min

Optimizer Dashboard: General

- Timberland values, tax rates
- Individual discount rates for timber and carbon project
- Stumpage and carbon price assumptions, initial costs (e.g. property purchase, carbon project initiation), annual (e.g. forest management, carbon reporting) and periodic (e.g. forest plan) costs.



Optimizer Dashboard: Carbon

Flow Constraints	
Constraint	Toggle
Nondeclining stocks on carbon project	Yes
20% Leakage Limit	No
Nondeclining Stocks	No
Carbon Constraints	
Constraint	Input
	4.0.0
Iurn Off Carbon Revenue After Year:	100
Turn Off Carbon Project	No



Optimizer Dashboard: timber

Harvest flow			
Harvest Flow	10%	+/- Decade	
Low-impact	prescripti	on Constraints	
Tract		Acres (>=)	Acres Available
Tract 1		4,180	19,951
Tract 2		428	9,297
Tract 3		2,400	19,654
Tract 4		501	3,847
Tract 5		300	12,994
Total		7,809	65,744



Optimizer Dashboard: timber

Offtake Consti	raints	
Species Group	MBF/Decad	Avg. MBF/Decade le (>=) Avail.
Species 1	75,000	264,184
Species 2	75,000	242,759
Species 3	150,000	157,396



Optimizer Dashboard

Silviculture Table			
Prescription	Acres	Percentage	Min acre
Grow	8,830	6.4%	5,000
Clearcut (Start in			
Decade 1)	50,648	36.7%	40,000
Clearcut (Start in			
Decade 2)	21,656	15.7%	20,000
Selection (Start in			
Decade 1)	31,146	22.6%	15,000
Selection (Start in			
Decade 2)	23,944	17.3%	10,000
Sanitation/Salvage	1,824	1.3%	0
Total	138,048	100.0%	



Optimizer dashboard: Outputs

Total Acres:	138,047.6	Carb. Proj. Acres:	63,950.6
Total Harvested (MBF):	6,876,959.0	CRTs (tonnes):	6,413,133
Species 1 Harvested (MBF):	2,641,841.5		
Species 2 Harvested (MBF):	2,427,591.2		
Species 3 Harvested (MBF):	1,573,962.5		
Misc. Harvested (MBF):	233,517.9	Timber	Carbon
NPV:	\$ 254,133,294.11	\$ 230,431,050	\$ 23,702,244
NPV per Net Acre:	\$ 1,841	\$ 1,669	\$ 172
NPV per Total Acre:	\$ 1,475	\$ 1,337	\$ 138
NPV per Acre (Low Timber):	\$ 1,250		
NPV per Acre (High Timber):	\$ 3,135		

Optimizer dashboard: Outputs



15

Optimizer dashboard: Outputs

Carbon Project Area Only



Findings

- At current offset prices, the model optimizes for timber only
- Fits well for Ongoing management:
 - Advanced purchase negotiations, Planning for existing properties
- Challenges in application:
 - Early purchase /feasibility study
- High-impact variables: Inventory, Timber quality, Silvicultural prescription definitions
- Low impact variables (with current market conditions): Carbon price, Stumpage, discount rates



Questions



Thomas Buchholz, Ph.D.

tbuchholz@sig-gis.com 802-881-5590

